



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/055,712

04/07/1998

HYOUNG-JOO LEE

1317.1028

4304

21171

7590

11/28/2012

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

SUITE 700

1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

SHEPARD, JUSTIN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2424

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/28/2012

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/055,712	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEE, HYOUNG-JOO	
	<b>Examiner</b> JUSTIN SHEPARD	<b>Art Unit</b> 2424	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on \_\_\_\_; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-17,20-31,33-35 and 53-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-17,21,23-31,33-35 and 53-63 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 20 and 22 is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

\* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the **Patent Prosecution Highway** program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see [http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init\\_events/pph/index.jsp](http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp) or send an inquiry to [PPHfeedback@uspto.gov](mailto:PPHfeedback@uspto.gov).

### Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                            |                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                           | 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                                                |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/28/11 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 12/28/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As stated in the Advisory Action mailed 1/17/12, the arguments filed 12/28/12 are nearly identical to arguments filed 10/17/11, which were responded to in the final office action mailed 10/28/11. Therefore the arguments have been considered and responded to.

As a way of advancing prosecution, the examiner has re-read the specification and reviewed the rejections of the claims. He has determined that claims 20 and 22 were not previously rejected properly as they claim an interface found in figure 3, and Young and Klosterman do not teach this interface.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Young (US Pat 5,727,060).

Referring to claim 17, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time of a currently viewed program of a signal receiver (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40), the method comprising:

receiving program guide information including a program schedule having the currently viewed program (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56); and

automatically displaying the program progress time of the currently viewed program simultaneously with the currently viewed program in response to a command from a user to perform a function other than displaying the program progress time upon receipt of the command (column 10, lines 21-40),

the program progress time including a current time (figure 10, 11:00A),

the command being one of an activating a channel up/down key (column 10, lines 21-40) and setting of a preset time prior to a program termination of the currently viewed program,

Art Unit: 2424

wherein the program progress time is displayed transiently for a predetermined time in response to the command from the user and automatically disappears after said predetermined time has elapsed (column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37; Note: the column 27 citation states that the Grazing Titles remains on the screen for 2 seconds, and column 10 teaches the grazing overlays, both of which are interpreted as the same information by the examiner).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, 10-12, 30, 33-35 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Russo (US Pat 5,701,383) in view of Klosterman (US Pat 6,078,348).

Referring to claim 1, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time on a signal receiver (figure 10) which receives and processes program guide information containing a program schedule (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56), comprising:

storing the program guide information (column 17, lines 51-56);

setting a command of the signal receiver which is commonly usable by a user as a display command to display time information about a currently viewed program (column 10, lines 21-40); and

displaying, the time information about the currently viewed program together with the currently viewed program when the user issues the display command set in said setting a command (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40),

the time information comprising a current time with respect to the currently viewed program (figure 10, 11:00A);

displaying a display bar representing a total program broadcasting time of the currently viewed program (figure 10), and

wherein the time information and the display bar are displayed transiently for a predetermined time when the user issues the display command set in said setting the command and disappear after said predetermined time has elapsed (column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a method wherein information is displayed in a numerical format;

the time information comprising a beginning time with respect to the currently viewed program, a program terminating time of the currently viewed program,

the beginning time being below a left-most end of the display bar, the program terminating time being below a right-most end of the display bar, and the current time being below the display bar at a position corresponding to the program progress time;

automatically displaying next program information when a remaining program time reaches a preset time.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a method wherein information is displayed in a numerical format; the time information comprising a beginning time with respect to the currently viewed program, a program terminating time of the currently viewed program, the beginning time being below a left-most end of the display bar, the program terminating time being below a right-most end of the display bar, and the current time being below the display bar at a position corresponding to the program progress time (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Young and Russo do not disclose a method for automatically displaying next program information when a remaining program time reaches a preset time.

In an analogous art, Klosterman teaches a method for automatically displaying next program information when a remaining program time reaches a preset time (column 10, lines 44-53).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the next program pop-up taught by Klosterman to the program guide pop-up disclosed by Young and Russo. The motivation would have been that informing the user that the current program is The Cosby show when there is only 30 seconds left,

which most likely constitute the end credits and a commercial, would not be useful to a user planning on watching television for a prolonged period of time.

Claims 7, 12, 33, 34, and 35 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 1.

Claim 30 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 1, and the additional limitation of an audio output is taught by Klosterman (column 3, lines 15-23) which would be obvious for one to interpret as being part of the TV disclosed by Young (column 3, lines 19-22).

Referring to claim 10, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 1, wherein the commonly usable command of the signal receiver is a command for a channel up/down (column 10, lines 21-40).

Referring to claim 11, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 1, wherein the commonly usable command of the signal receiver is a command for a remote controller event (column 10, lines 21-40; figure 22A, part 212).

Referring to claim 61, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 1, further comprising moving the current time relative to the display bar according to the program progress time (figure 10).



Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young, Russo and Klosterman as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Blahut (US Pat 5,532,735).

Referring to claim 5, Young, Russo and Klosterman do not disclose a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 1, wherein the time information includes the program progress time determined by subtracting the beginning time from the current time.

In an analogous art, Blahut teaches a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 1, wherein the time information includes the program progress time determined by subtracting the beginning time from the current time (column 5, lines 12-16).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the subtraction method taught by Blahut to the program progress method disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that subtracting one time from another is a well-known way in the art to establish an elapsed time.

Claim 8 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 5.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young, Russo, Klosterman and Blahut as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Gerace (US Pat 5,848,396).

Referring to claim 6, Young, Russo, Klosterman and Blahut do not disclose a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 5, wherein the time information further comprises the remaining program time determined by subtracting the current time from the program terminating time.

In an analogous art, Gerace teaches a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 5, wherein the time information further comprises the remaining program time determined by subtracting the current time from the program terminating time (column 15, lines 21-22).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the subtraction method taught by Gerace to the program progress method disclosed by Young and Blahut. The motivation would have been that subtracting one time from another is a well-known way in the art to establish an elapsed time.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young, Russo, Klosterman and Blahut as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Smith (US Pat 5,456,692).

Referring to claim 9, Young, Russo, Klosterman and Blahut do not disclose a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 8, wherein the time information further comprises a percentage of the remaining program time as compared with the total program broadcasting time.

In an analogous art, Smith teaches a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 8, wherein the time information further comprises a percentage

Art Unit: 2424

of the remaining program time as compared with the total program broadcasting time (column 20, lines 13-17).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the percentage displaying taught by Smith to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that Young teaches a progress bar that is percentage calibrated, so adding the display of the percentage would have been obvious as the system has already calculated the data (Young: figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40).

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Russo (US Pat 5,701,383) in view of Blahut (US Pat 5,532,735) in view of Gerace (US Pat 5,848,396).

Referring to claim 13, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time in a signal receiver which receives and processes program guide information containing a program schedule (figure 10; figure 22A), comprising:

receiving and storing the program guide information (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56);

displaying a display bar representing the total program broadcasting time, including the current time (figure 10); and

when a user issues a command requesting the displaying of the program progress time of the currently viewed program (column 10, lines 21-40);

automatically displaying the display bar so as to indicate a position on the display bar corresponding to the program progress time at a preset time set by the user (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40), and

display bar are displayed transiently for a predetermined time when the user issues the command and automatically disappear after said predetermined time has elapsed (column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a method for displaying a program terminating time of the currently viewed program;

determining a total program broadcasting time of a currently viewed program by subtracting a program beginning time from the program terminating time of the currently viewed program;

determining the program progress time by subtracting the beginning time from a current time;

wherein the program terminating time, total program broadcasting time are displayed.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a method for displaying a program terminating time of the currently viewed program; and wherein the program terminating time, total program broadcasting time are displayed (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Young and Russo do not disclose a method for determining a total program broadcasting time of a currently viewed program by subtracting a program beginning time from the program terminating time of the currently viewed program;

determining the program progress time by subtracting the beginning time from a current time.

In an analogous art, Blahut teaches a method for determining the program progress time by subtracting the beginning time from a current time (column 5, lines 12-16).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the subtraction method taught by Blahut to the program progress method disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that subtracting one time from another is a well-known way in the art to establish an elapsed time.

Young, Russo and Blahut do not disclose a method for determining a total program broadcasting time of a currently viewed program by subtracting a program beginning time from the program terminating time of the currently viewed program.

In an analogous art, Gerace teaches a method for determining a total program broadcasting time of a currently viewed program by subtracting a program beginning time from the program terminating time of the currently viewed program (column 15, lines 21-22).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the subtraction method taught by Gerace to the program progress method

Art Unit: 2424

disclosed by Young and Blahut. The motivation would have been that subtracting one time from another is a well-known way in the art to establish an elapsed time.

Referring to claim 14, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 13, further comprising displaying the display bar by distinguishing between a portion of the program progress time elapsed and a remaining program progress time portion (figure 10).

Referring to claim 15, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 14, further comprising displaying the program progress time on a portion of the display bar between a starting position of the display bar and a position corresponding to the program progress time on the display bar (figure 10).

Referring to claim 16, Young does not disclose a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 14, comprising displaying the remaining program progress time on a portion of the display bar between a position corresponding to the program progress time and an end position of the display bar.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a method of displaying a program progress time as claimed in claim 14, comprising displaying the remaining program progress time on a portion of the display bar between a position corresponding to the program progress time and an end position of the display bar (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Claims 21 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Klosterman (US Pat 6,078,348).

Referring to claim 21, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time of a currently viewed program of a signal receiver (figure 10), the method comprising:

receiving program guide information including a program schedule having the currently viewed program (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56);

displaying a program progress time including a current time of the currently viewed program in response to a command from a user to perform a function other than displaying the program progress time upon receipt of the command (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40); and

wherein the program progress time and next program information are displayed transiently for a predetermined time in response to the command from the user and automatically disappear after said predetermined time has elapsed (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a method for automatically displaying next program information of a next program on a same channel as the currently viewed program at a preset time prior to the program termination of the currently viewed program.

In an analogous art, Klosterman teaches a method for automatically displaying next program information of a next program on a same channel as the currently viewed program at a preset time prior to the program termination of the currently viewed program (column 10, lines 44-53).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the next program pop-up taught by Klosterman to the program guide pop-up disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that informing the user that the current program is The Cosby show when there is only 30 seconds left, which most likely constitute the end credits and a commercial, would not be useful to a user planning on watching television for a prolonged period of time.

Referring to claim 31, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time of a currently viewed program (figure 10) comprising:

issuing a user-initiated display command (column 10, lines 21-40);

wherein the program progress time is displayed transiently for a predetermined time in response to the display command and automatically disappears after said predetermined time has elapsed (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40).

Young does not disclose a method for displaying a program terminating time of the currently viewed program and a current time in response to the display command



Art Unit: 2424

automatically at a preset time set by the user prior to a program terminating time of the currently viewed program; and displaying next program information automatically at the preset time.

In an analogous art, Klosterman teaches a method for displaying a program terminating time of the currently viewed program and a current time in response to the display command automatically at a preset time set by the user prior to a program terminating time of the currently viewed program; and displaying next program information automatically at the preset time (column 10, lines 44-53).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the next program pop-up taught by Klosterman to the program guide pop-up disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that informing the user that the current program is The Cosby show when there is only 30 seconds left, which most likely constitute the end credits and a commercial, would not be useful to a user planning on watching television for a prolonged period of time.

Claims 23-25, 53-60, 62, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Russo (US Pat 5,701,383).

Referring to claim 23, Young does not disclose a method as claimed in claim 17, wherein the program progress time further includes a program beginning time, and a program termination time of the currently viewed program.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a method as claimed in claim 17, wherein the program progress time further includes a program beginning time, and a program termination time of the currently viewed program (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Referring to claim 24, Young discloses a method as claimed in claim 23, wherein the program progress time further includes a channel number, a name of a broadcast station and a title of the currently viewed program (figure 10).

Referring to claim 25, Young discloses a method as claimed in claim 23, wherein said displaying displays the current time at a position of the display bar corresponding to a percentage of time elapsed versus a total time of the currently viewed program (figure 10).

Young does not disclose a method wherein displaying comprises displaying the program beginning time at a start of a display bar, the program termination time at an end of the display bar.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a method wherein displaying comprises displaying the program beginning time at a start of a display bar, the program termination time at an end of the display bar (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Referring to claim 53, Young discloses a display device which displays program progress information of a current program (figure 10), the display device comprising:

a receiver which receives the current program and at least one of a beginning time of the current program and a terminating time of the current program (figure 22A; column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56);

a display which displays the current program, and the program progress information of the current program, and a progress bar indicating a progress time of the current program and a current time (figure 10),

wherein the progress bar has a first end and a second end (figure 10), and the progress time of the current program is displayed on the progress bar between the first end and the second end (figure 10),

wherein the program progress information is displayed transiently for a predetermined time in response to a display command set by a user and automatically disappears after said predetermined time has elapsed (column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a device wherein the program progress information includes the beginning time of the current program and the terminating time of the

Art Unit: 2424

current program; and the beginning time is displayed in the numerical format at the first end of the progress bar and the terminating time is displayed in the numerical format at the second end of the progress bar, wherein the beginning time of the current program, the current time, and the terminating time of the current program are displayed in a numerical format.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a device wherein the program progress information includes the beginning time of the current program and the terminating time of the current program; and the beginning time is displayed in the numerical format at the first end of the progress bar and the terminating time is displayed in the numerical format at the second end of the progress bar, wherein the beginning time of the current program, the current time, and the terminating time of the current program are displayed in a numerical format (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Claims 55, 57, and 59 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 53.

Referring to claim 54, Young discloses a display device as claimed in claim 53, further comprising a microprocessor to determine a remaining time of the current program, and the remaining time is displayed as a first color, wherein the progress time

Art Unit: 2424

of the current program is displayed as a second color and wherein the first color and the second color are displayed on the progress bar (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40).

Claim 56 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 54.

Referring to claim 58, Young does not disclose a display device according to claim 57, wherein each of the beginning time, the current time and the terminating time is displayed in a respective location relative to the progress bar, such that the respective location depends upon the type of the at least one time information.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a display device according to claim 57, wherein each of the beginning time, the current time and the terminating time is displayed in a respective location relative to the progress bar, such that the respective location depends upon the type of the at least one time information (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Claim 60 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 58.

Referring to claim 62, Young discloses a method of claim 59, further comprising: converting an IF signal from a tuner of the receiver into a baseband signal; and converting the baseband signal into channel signal to reconstruct a transport stream (TS) (figure 24).

Referring to claim 63, Young discloses a method of claim 62, further comprising: identifying time information indicating a length of a television program based on the retrieved program guide information (figure 10; column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56).

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Russo (US Pat 5,701,383) in view of Smith (US Pat 5,456,692).

Referring to claim 26, Young discloses a method of displaying a program progress time a current time of a currently viewed program of a signal receiver (figure 10), the method comprising:

receiving program guide information including a program schedule having the currently viewed program (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56);

wherein the program progress time are displayed transiently for a predetermined time when a user issues a display command set in said setting a command and disappear after said predetermined time has elapsed (column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a method wherein in displaying includes a program beginning time and a program terminating time;

displaying the program progress time of the currently viewed program simultaneously with the currently viewed program automatically at a preset time wherein said displaying the program progress time further comprises displaying the beginning time at a start of a display bar, the program termination time at an end of the display bar, a first percentage number, comprising a numeral, of the time elapsed and a second percentage number, comprising a numeral, of a time remaining versus the total time of the currently viewed program and the current time at a position of the display bar corresponding to a percentage of time elapsed versus a total time of the currently viewed program.

In an analogous art, Russo teaches a method wherein in displaying includes a program beginning time and a program terminating time; and displaying the program progress time of the currently viewed program simultaneously with the currently viewed program automatically at a preset time wherein said displaying the program progress time further comprises displaying the beginning time at a start of a display bar, the program termination time at an end of the display bar, of a time remaining versus the total time of the currently viewed program and the current time at a position of the display bar corresponding to a percentage of time elapsed versus a total time of the currently viewed program (figures 2A, 2B and 2C).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the time bar information taught by Russo to the progress bar disclosed by

Art Unit: 2424

Young. The motivation would have been to give the user more information to the user regarding how much time is left in the program.

Young and Russo do not disclose a method for displaying a first percentage number, comprising a numeral, of the time elapsed and a second percentage number, comprising a numeral.

In an analogous art, Smith teaches a method for displaying a first percentage number, comprising a numeral, of the time elapsed and a second percentage number, comprising a numeral (column 20, lines 13-17).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the percentage displaying taught by Smith to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that Young teaches a progress bar that is percentage calibrated, so adding the display of the percentage would have been obvious as the system has already calculated the data (Young: figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40).

Claim 27 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 26.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Klosterman (US Pat 6,078,348) in view of Smith (US Pat 5,456,692).

Referring to claim 28, Young discloses a device for displaying a program progress time (figure 10), comprising:



a receiving unit to receive a program and a program guide containing a program schedule which includes information on a TV program (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56);

a processor to produce data for displaying the program progress time transiently for a predetermined time in response to a command from a user (column 10, lines 21-40; figure 22A);

a video output unit to mix video data of the program and said data for displaying the program progress time of the program, to output a resulting signal (figure 22A; figure 10); and

the program progress time including a program beginning time of the TV program, a current time (figure 10),

wherein the program progress time are displayed transiently for a predetermined time in response to the command from the user and automatically disappear after said predetermined time has elapsed (figure 10; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a method with a display to display the resulting signal and next program information automatically at a preset remaining time of the program, and

displaying a progress percentage number, comprising a numeral.

In an analogous art, Klosterman teaches a method with a display to display the resulting signal and next program information automatically at a preset remaining time of the program (column 10, lines 44-53).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the next program pop-up taught by Klosterman to the program guide pop-up disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that informing the user that the current program is The Cosby show when there is only 30 seconds left, which most likely constitute the end credits and a commercial, would not be useful to a user planning on watching television for a prolonged period of time.

Young and Klosterman do not disclose a method for displaying a progress percentage number, comprising a numeral.

In an analogous art, Smith teaches a method for displaying a progress percentage number, comprising a numeral (column 20, lines 13-17).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the percentage displaying taught by Smith to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that Young teaches a progress bar that is percentage calibrated, so adding the display of the percentage would have been obvious as the system has already calculated the data (Young: figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40).

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US Pat 5,727,060) in view of Smith (US Pat 5,456,692).

Referring to claim 29, Young discloses a device for displaying a program progress time the program progress time including a current time (figure 10) comprising:

Art Unit: 2424

a receiving unit to receive a TV program and a TV program guide containing a program schedule which includes information on the TV program (column 17, lines 33-44 and 51-56);

a user interface to enable entry of a command from a user requesting display of the program progress time (column 10, lines 21-40);

an audio output unit to generate an audio signal of the TV program (figure 22A, part 210);

a processor to produce On-Screen-Graphic data for displaying the program progress time transiently for a predetermined time in response to the command from the user and based upon the program schedule (figure 10; column 27, lines 34-37);

a video output unit to mix video data of the TV program and On-Screen-Graphic data of the TV program, to output a resulting signal (figure 22A); and

a display to automatically display the resulting signal wherein the command is one of an activating a channel up/down key and setting of a preset time prior to a program termination of a currently viewed program (figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40),

wherein the On-Screen-Graphic data are displayed transiently for a predetermined time in response to the command from the user and automatically disappear after said predetermined time has elapsed (column 10, lines 21-40; column 27, lines 34-37).

Young does not disclose a method for displaying a progress percentage number, comprising a numeral.

In an analogous art, Smith teaches a method for displaying a progress percentage number, comprising a numeral (column 20, lines 13-17).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the percentage displaying taught by Smith to the progress bar disclosed by Young. The motivation would have been that Young teaches a progress bar that is percentage calibrated, so adding the display of the percentage would have been obvious as the system has already calculated the data (Young: figure 10; column 10, lines 21-40).

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 20 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims refer to a method for receiving program guide information, and using this information to automatically display how much time is left in a program when a particular action is performed by the user. The actions can include channel up/down and/or whenever a preset amount of time is left in the program. The amount of time left in a program is displayed for an amount of time and disappears automatically when the time expires. While these limitations have been found in the art, claims 20 and 22 add the limitations of providing the user with a user interface on the television screen so that they can select one or all of the triggering actions that cause the progress bar to be

Art Unit: 2424

displayed (figure 3). A search of the art has been performed, and all of the limitations have not been found and therefore the claims are objected to as being allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUSTIN SHEPARD whose telephone number is (571)272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pankaj Kumar can be reached on (571) 272-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Justin E Shepard/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424